1	Special Meeting of the Town of Richmond Selectboard			
2	and the Town of Hinesburg Selectboard			
3	August 29, 2022			
4	rugust 27, 2022			
5	Members Present: Bard Hill (Richmond), David Sander (Richmond), Dennis Place			
6	(Hinesburg), Jay Furr (Richmond), Jeff Forward (Richmond), June Heston (Richmond),			
7	Maggie Gordon (Hinesburg), Merrily Lovell (Hinesburg), Mike Loner (Hinesburg), Phil			
8	Pouech (Hinesburg)			
9	Tousen (Timesourg)			
10	Members Absent: None			
11				
12	Staff Present: Josh Arneson, Richmond Town Manager; Duncan Wardwell, Assistant to			
13	the Town Manager; Kendall Chamberlin, Water Superintendent; Alyson Dengler, Police			
14	Officer; Benjamin Herrick, Richmond Interim Police Chief; Anthony Cambridge,			
15	Hinesburg Police Chief; Joseph McLean, Stitzel, Page & Fletcher Attorney			
16				
17	Others Present: Meeting was recorded by MMCTV, Ann Naumann, Connie Van			
18	Eeghen, Corey McDonald, Frank Koss, Hulshof, Jennifer Decker, Kate Littlefield, Laurie			
19	Dana, Martha Nye, MMCTV Erin, Pam, Rod West, Warren Myers			
20				
21	Call to Order: 7:30pm			
22				
23	Welcome by: Lovell			
24				
25	Public Comment:			
26				
27	Lovell: This is a Special Meeting of Town of Richmond and Town of Hinesburg			
28	Selectboards. We are exploring options about sharing Police Departments. This is a			
29	hybrid meeting, so some members are in person, and some are joining by Zoom. This			
30	meeting will be recorded and posted on MMCTV and it will be shared to make this a			
31	public meeting.			
32	1 :41 - C - 11 - 1 4 - 41 1 - 41 - 11			
33	Littlefield: I want to thank the Hinesburg Selectboard for pursuing this. There are folks			
34	in this Town that would like to explore options. We are happy to see that this meeting is			
35	happening. Thank you for your collaboration.			
36 37	Van Eeghen: I am a member Richmond Racial Equity Community Group, and we think			
38	about police governance and the connection to the community. We hope the process			
39	includes plenty of community engagement.			
40	merades pienty of community engagement.			
41	Additions or Deletions to Agenda: None			
42	raditions of Detections to regenua. Trone			
43	Items for Presentation or Discussion with those present			
44	rems for Tresentation of Discussion with those present			
45	McLean: I am an attorney from Stitzel, Page & Fletcher who provides legal services to			
46	Richmond and sometimes Hinesburg. I am here to discuss a potential joint police force			
47	between the two communities. In Vermont, statue powers for municipalities are provided			
48	by Dillon's Rule (https://www.vlct.org/municipal-assistance/municipal-topics/municipal-			
49	governance). The statutory authority to form shared police services has to be based on			
50	two separate chapters of Title 24. Chapter 55 of Title 24 provides that municipalities			
	1			
	1			

- 51 may establish a Police Department and point Police Officers by the legislative bodies of
- 52 the communities (https://legislature.vermont.gov/statutes/chapter/24/055). Title 24
- Section 1931.a provides for the formation of a police department and Section 1931.b
- 54 provides the direction and control of the entire police force to a chief of police. In other
- words, the Legislative body establishes police department and staffs it. Then the
- authority of the department is under the chief. Title 24 Section 1938 provides agreements
- for intermunicipal police services and defines the scope of those services. Chapter 121 of
- Title 24 (https://legislature.vermont.gov/statutes/chapter/24/121) provides two primary
- means for municipalities to cooperate. The statue of interlocal contracts provides that
- one municipality may contract with another for authorized services. The contractual
- authority is not exclusive, and a municipality may develop a contract and then submit to
- 62 Attorney General. One person can be authorized to hold the same office or offices in the
- participating municipalities. The contract can provide for method of choosing officers,
- choosing boards, providing for different functions, and how those functions will be paid.
- 65 Chapter 55 envisions a scenario where one municipality is providing a service to another,
- and it is not a shared service. In Chapter 121 there is flexibility on how to establish those

67 contracts for shared police services.

68 69

Forward: Are Chapters 55 and 121 mutually exclusive so that if you do one, then you cannot do the other?

70 71 72

McLean: No, the agreements of Chapter 55 are not subject to the requirements of Chapter 121. The requirements of Chapter 121 are minimal at best for an interlocal contracts.

74 75 76

73

Loner: So, we wouldn't have to get approval from the Attorney General for an interlocal contract?

77 78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

McLean: The Attorney General approval for an interlocal contact is not mandatory where it is for a union municipal district. A union municipal district is different than an agreement because you create a new municipal entity. The process for doing that is set out in Chapter 24 Section 4861. It provides for the approval of a joint municipal survey committee. It would consist of members from each community who have been tasked with studying a problem, coming up with a plan, and then recommend the formation of the municipal district. Think of it as a framework that will be taken to the Legislature for approval. The statue sets forth the duration, organization, purpose, electing process, establishing a budget, taxing authority, methods for terminating, amending or withdrawal.

87 88

Pouech: I am on the Green Mountain Transit board. Is this similar to a regional district?

89 90

91 McLean: It is very similar. I am not sure if Green Mountain Transit is a union municipal district. The Chittenden County Public Safety Authority of a union municipal district with about a half-dozen members.

94 95

Hill: The Chittenden Solid Waste district is similar.

96

97 McLean: A union municipal district is a governed by a Legislative body with powers of imminent domain, taxation.

99

Furr: The power to own property. The power to sue or be sued.

McLean: If you pursued a union municipal district to consider the scope of power you

want to give to the district. There is a process for doing this. It starts with the joint

survey committee and a formation of an agreement. Ultimately, both entities would need

approval of agreement by an Australian vote. If approved by the voters, then the

Legislature would approve the agreement charter for the union municipal district.

Depending on the agreement, the union municipal district may have the ability to collect

taxes to establish a budget, but it is not required. It depends on the language of the

agreement. The agreement should also consider employee conditions as it might apply to

110 collective bargaining with a Union.

111

Heston: Do you know what process Essex Junction and Essex Town utilized for their proposed combined police service?

114

McLean: I am not sure. I can ask what direction they were going.

115116

Forward: Although they failed to merge, they did talk about sharing police services.

118

Heston: We should look at what they did and not reinvent the wheel. We could use it as

120 a template.

121

122 Cambridge: I was told that Essex has a ten-year contract.

123 124

Furr: One is contracting with the other to provide services. Essex Junction is paying

125 Essex Town for services until something else can be developed.

126 127

McLean: That would be the first of the two options. It is not an example of a union

municipal district.

129130

Loner: Are there any Vermont Towns that have a shared union municipal district?

131

McLean: I am not aware of any doing it this way. When I spoke with Trevor Whipple of

Vermont League of Cities and Towns, he said Richmond and Hinesburg would be the

first example in the State. A fire district like Jericho and Underhill is an example of a

union municipal district but they are formed very differently than police. Fire districts

are typically chartered with authority or formed by action of the municipal legislative

body to address specific issues.

138 139

Furr: We could still establish a governing board over the municipal district to work in an

equitable way.

141142

McLean: The initial agreement would define the joint governing board that would

143 ultimately have management authority over the chief.

144

145 Hill: I think it is important to mention that currently the Town Manager oversees the

Police Chief who oversees the Officers. Sometimes, people think the Selectboard is the

supervisor of the Chief.

148

McLean: The new entity could still be structured as a single governing board.

- 151 Furr: It would make sense to have a board where at least one member of each Town
- would have to vote in favor. For instance, 3 from each Town would provide a majority
- 153 of 4.

- 155 McLean: Sometimes there is weighted voting such as a case where Burlington is
- providing a majority of the funding. These are some of the many issues that would be
- debated by the joint municipal survey committee.

158

Heston: The State is also looking at Police oversight committees. Is there something we could do as a governing body to coordinate with the State.

161

Pouech: Are you saying that there is one body doing the nuts and bolts of organizing the district. Then there would be a community oversight of the district.

164

Heston: I was suggesting they would be one in the same.

166

Pouech: Some community members could be involved to provide some oversight. The State is looking for more of that.

169

170 Forward: I am interested in the interlocal contact and not the union municipal district.

171

- 172 McLean: The interlocal contract would be an agreement to share services, personnel and
- equipment. The interlocal agreement there will still be two communities figuring how to
- share the services that are equitable. If there is a Manager and Chief in each Town, then
- the agreement would define how the governance would work.

176

- Forward: We need a committee to figure it out either way. Maybe it is a progression where we do the interlocal contract while we take the time to develop the municipal
- 179 district.

180

McLean: Given the number factors you have to look at you probably want to put something in place and try it for at least a year.

183

Forward: A charter change approved by the Legislature is not a quick process.

185

Loner: I am looking for where it requires us to have Legislature approval.

187

- McLean: I failed to include that in the packet. There is a statutory provision to approve the union municipal district. It will go to the House government offices and reviewed
- there and then at the Senate equivalent.

191

- 192 Pouech: I reached out to a number of Legislatures and there is an awareness that some
- 193 Towns might start considering this. I think there is an open mind that this might be a
- solution to a problem.

195

- 196 McLean: I do not think this will be a charter proposal that will be controversial. If you
- did the work at the local level and you had a solid plan it might take a little bit of time,
- but it would ultimately be approved by the Legislature.

200 Place: Is Champlain Valley High School that serves Williston, Charlotte, Hinesburg,

201 Charlotte, Shelburne with a Superintendent similar to a union municipal district?

202

203 McLean: There are many examples like union school districts that are setup with some 204 of the same building blocks, but it is a different statuary section. You can still have 205 representatives on the governing body from each Town based on contribution or 206 population. The board makes all the decisions but also might look to hire an executive 207 director who would oversee the various employees. It all depends on how you structure 208 it. These details would be worked out through this on-going process with a joint survey 209 committee which be subject to open meeting laws.

210 211

212

Furr: As previously noted, we can do an interlocal contract while developing the details with the joint survey committee. The Essex agreement is an example where Essex Town is ultimately in charge which is simple and quick.

213 214 215

Loner: There is no reason we cannot put together the committee and start doing the work to see where we want to go.

216 217 218

219

220

221

Heston: I have talked to a lot of people in the police world (retired, current, State, or local) and I have yet to find someone that doesn't think that regionalization is going to have to happen. We will have a lot of resources to draw from as we go through this process because many people want to see this happen. It will be a lot of work but that shouldn't deter us.

222 223

224 Forward: If we cannot figure this out then I am not sure of two Towns that would be 225 better suited to do so.

226

227 Gordon: I like the idea of starting out with the interlocal contract for a limited amount of 228 time. We are not sure of the issues that will pop up as we develop the union municipal 229 district.

230

231 Pouech: I would like to hear from the two Chiefs. Hinesburg has done some contracting 232 with St. George. I would also like to hear from each of the Board members about moving 233 in a certain direction.

234

235 Heston: Are we allowed to do that at this meeting?

236

237 Lovell: That was my understanding that this would be a presentation for information.

238

239 Heston: We should not say how this might affect Richmond or Hinesburg at this 240 meeting.

241

242 Forward: Can we talk about how we feel?

243

244 Arneson: I think if we are asking our attorney for advice on what Richmond should do 245 then that should be executive session. I think it is appropriate for this open meeting if we 246 are asking Board members and Town employees about how they feel about it. I defer to 247 the Chairs and attorney on this.

248

249 McLean: We should look at the scope of how this meeting was warned.

251 Hill: It states a "discussion", so it is more than just a presentation.

Heston: It states a "discussion of shared Police Department governance" so I just want to make sure we do not do anything wrong.

Forward: We are nowhere near any contract negotiations. It seems to me that getting a general sense of both boards will help us move it along.

Hill: We go to executive session to protect our interests from premature disclosure of information. I do not think that is the case here.

Furr: If we don't talk about our opinions now, then we will have to do the same thing at our respective Town Selectboard meetings.

McLean: I think the warning is drafted so that you can take public feedback on the options. I do not see a legal issue.

Lovell: We are not making any decisions tonight. We are taking input at this point. I would be interested in hearing from Selectboard members and the Police Chiefs.

Herrick: Like June said, I think regionalization is going to happen. At some point, municipal districts are where things are going to end up. It is getting very expensive and time-consuming to have a Police Department. We do not just hire a person to point a radar gun. There are so many more trainings and things that make it difficult to have a 2–3-person department. You can get a lot more for your costs with a shared department. With an interlocal contract, one department may no longer exist. If there is a contract with Hinesburg while we figure out a municipal district, then Richmond no longer has a Police Department. To some extent, Richmond will not have as much control. With a municipal district you will maintain shared control. Police applicant pools are not going up so hiring will still be an issue. My concern is if there is a contract then what does it look like if the former Richmond officers end up working for Hinesburg.

Lovell: I am not sure that is a given. An interlocal contract might not mean you lose the Richmond Department.

Cambridge: The best thing would be not to dissolve either Richmond or Hinesburg Police Department until we formed a union municipal district. A possible move would be to create a contract for management or 1 Chief for both Towns. That would give us the ability to schedule both Departments together and start hiring consistently. It is a model provided to Essex. There is a lot of benefit to get this started with breaking anything or making big changes. If we were to contract Chief services and have 3 officers on at the same time, then we would get a glimpse of what this might look like as we are in the process of the municipal district.

Gordon: Nobody has done it before, but we know it needs to happen. It is going to take a lot of work and time. I think it will benefit both communities. We can do this. We are very similar.

Loner: I think it is time to do this work. In Hinesburg, we have been discussing what we want the police force to look like. This gives us an opportunity to open up that discussion.

Lovell: I am excited about this as we have been talking about regionalization for years. We have some legal patterns that will make it work. This is the way of the future whether we actively participate or not.

Place: I agree we need to look at this. I cannot believe that other States haven't dealt with it. I think it will be a lot of work but well worth it if we can keep the community and officers safe.

Pouech: I think the timing is right. In the end, the State would appreciate it if we can pull this off. There are advantages which are not necessarily cost savings. We will have tighter control like a school district dealing with mandates, as it is no longer possible to have a 4-room schoolhouse. For all the services we have to do, it is hard to have a 2-person police department. I think we take some baby steps to benefit from after-hours and on-call being shared. Maybe there are 2-3 things we can do with a contract.

Hill: I see this as a yellow light but keep moving hoping it turns to green and not red. The history of consolidating school districts is similar. We need to think about the intended and unintended consequences. I do not think a contract should include the dissolution of the Richmond Police Department. Six or so years ago at Town Meeting a motion from the floor to dissolve the Richmond Police Department failed by a substantial amount. A majority of the people said that even though it is expensive, it is worth it. We previously contracted with Bolton for some police services, and it is an example of giving up governance. We should evaluate the quality of the taxpayer and professional experience. Is it satisfying to both?

Forward: I am excited about this. Our Selectboards work in a similar culture. We do not want to be the junior partner but a partner. There are ways to keep our department. I like the idea of doing the contract first as it is quicker. I am uncertain about the municipal district as it much more complicated. We can get our feet wet by doing the contract. I think we can get the same coverage for the same amount of money. The Committee we formed already is impressive.

Furr: Pennsylvania has dozens of regional police departments, and many states are looking at this. Vermonter's lover their local control but we are talking about a two-town district. I am eager to have discussions and listen to community feedback. People want to know if they call at 2 AM then they don't want a State police dispatcher saying they will be there in an hour. A merged district will give us the opportunity to take a fresh look at the opportunity. I am eager for the discussion even though I am not ready to say let's do it, where do I sign.

Heston: Richmond had this conversation more than a decade ago. It fell apart at the 11th hour. We are not doing this to save money although there might be some cost savings in shared services. We want to achieve an understanding of what our community wants in police services and how do we provide those services. Often, only one police officer is on duty at a time and that is not safe. There is less risk there with a larger department.

348 This process gives the entire community a say on what policing looks like. I am all for 349 the union municipal district because we can do the hard work.

community. I am worried too much of the conversation is on the Board level.

350 351

352

353

355

356

Sander: I am cautiously optimistic about continuing the conversation. Why are there 251 towns in Vermont and no two towns have gone down this road. The Richmond Police Department is a significant asset to our Town. We need to be careful to preserve what we 354 have. The conversations need to continue with the existing departments and communities. I worry about making a hasty decision or unforeseen consequences. We need to be careful and thoughtful about getting feedback from personnel and the

357 358 359

360

361

362

363

364

Littlefield: I am becoming concerned about this conversation as the budget in Hinesburg keeps getting bigger. I am concerned that merging might actually increase the budget or not be a benefit to taxpayers. I feel like the Hinesburg Police Department brings in a small amount of revenue compared to the Richmond Police Department. This brings up questions around a culture of not making money off of ticketing as there are many disparities in traffic stops. I feel HPD does this really well. Please consider the cultural styles of both police departments.

365 366 367

368

369

Lovell: I know that Chief Cambridge and Chief Herrick have already begun to talk, and the culture of Hinesburg Police Department will not change or that will be a deal breaker. So far, the Chiefs report that it is very harmonious.

370 371

Cambridge: We reduced our traffic stops during COVID. Our ultimate goal is changing behavior, so we are constantly evaluating verbal warnings versus ticket revenue.

372 373 374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

Hill: I have had conversations with everyone about community policing. There was a time when we did not have the same perspectives on this. Ironically, over the last 2-3 years there has not been a single Selectboard meeting where we have not heard a complaint about speeding or parking around our recreational areas. If you are from Hinesburg, you might not be aware of Cochran Rd on a sunny Saturday or Sunday. You will appreciate the phone calls I get about parkers, bikers, people carrying canoes. The last several years, citizens have been asking me why the Police Department doesn't give out more tickets and tow more cars. That is a dynamic associated with being on a recreational crossroads.

382 383 384

385

386

387

Forward: I share your concern about ticketing too much. There was a time when Richmond was in the top 5 in the State on ticketing. That culture has changed. We have had 3 different police chiefs in the last 3 years. We have been moving toward a community policing model. Hinesburg has been held up as a model for a community our size. This is another reason why this is the right time to think about alternatives.

388 389 390

Arneson: In FY21 our ticketing revenue was \$4,420 for local fines and \$4,071 for uniform traffic tickets. Pre-COVID, the uniform traffic tickets were around \$15,000.

391 392

393 Forward: My recollection is that revenue dropped significantly around 3 years ago with a 394 new Police Chief.

395

396 Cambridge: I think we are getting into the specifics too much. Richmond and Hinesburg 397 working together would provide at least two officers responding to an incident. How can

398 we do this in a small way that can bring us together. The culture will come. Both 399 Richmond and Hinesburg have a lot to offer and create something even better.

400

401 West: I own Blackfork Towing in Richmond and have worked with the Police 402 Department for over two decades. I am sure I have spent more time in the police office 403 talking to officers than any individual. The Chief of a Department is the mentor for what 404 makes it work. Most of the work is on-the-job training. I am happy for Richmond and 405 Hinesburg to consider a union municipal district. I think you need to find a grant to study 406 this. Regionalization is a big deal, and it is not a couple of towns shaking hands. 407 Regionalization is about dealing with situations like Uvalde not just doing it the same 408 way in a larger geography. Richmond and Hinesburg are similar towns in size and 409 culture, but we are not geographically related. The Police Stations are 12 miles apart. The distance from one end of Hinesburg to another end of Richmond is over 20 miles of

410 411 back roads. Two Fridays ago, a four-car accident was a big deal in middle of Richmond.

412 There were at least 5 ambulances. Chief Herrick was backed up by 2 State Troopers and 413 3 Williston Police Officers who came without question. I think we need to be careful. If 414 you want to study this, then get some grant money. I do not think it is fair to blow a

415 bunch of legal money, time and bandwidth and cheat other functions in Town.

416 417

418

419

420

Lovell: Hinesburg has recently contracted with Richmond Rescue for ambulance services and many people brought up similar concerns about the roads between us. It has worked out really well. People in Hinesburg have been happy with the situation. The response time has been quick, the service has been professional. We are moving slowly and not jumping into anything quickly.

421 422 423

Forward: This group could morph into a joint survey committee.

424 425

Pouech: We should look out for other organizations that might be willing to support it.

426

Lovell: The idea is that small group will meet again and see what steps we can take.

427 428

429 Forward: We only appointed 2 Selectboard members, otherwise it becomes another 430 Selectboard meeting. I am comfortable with the representation on that Committee and 431 come back to us with further updates or discussions.

432 433

Lovell: The current members on the smaller committee are June, Bard, Chief Herrick, Town Manager Josh Arneson from Richmond, and myself, Phil, Town Manager Todd Odit, Chief Cambridge from Hinesburg.

435 436 437

434

Heston: We also have Trevor Whipple who has been hired by VLCT to help with police service questions.

438 439 440

441

442

Lovell: There are questions about how the merge would impact CVU and MMU High Schools. We do not know the answer at this time. Richmond also brought up the amount of recreational activity they receive during the weekend that is not evident in Hinesburg. These are things to be explored and worked out, but we do not have answers at this point.

443 444 445

Heston: We do have lots of questions.

- Hill: It is also not clear to me the difference between a School Resource Officer (SRO)
- and coverage in an emergency situation. Are we posting warnings and minutes for that
- smaller committee? It is important to be transparent about that.

Lovell: Those are not public meetings, but we will keep minutes.

452

453 Forward: We want to be as transparent as possible.

454

Adjournment

455 456

- 457 Forward moved to adjourn. Heston seconded
- 458 Roll Call Vote: Forward, Furr, Gordon, Heston, Hill, Loner, Lovell, Place, Pouech,
- 459 Sander in favor. Motion approved.

Meeting adjourned at 10:57 pm

- 462 Chat file from Zoom:
- 463 00:09:03 Kate & Saben Littlefield: can we put a mic near this person hard to
- 464 hear
- 465 00:13:18 Connie van Eeghen, she/her: Is everyone frozen?
- 466 00:14:18 June Heston: Those on Zoom lost you.
- 467 00:14:33 Jay Furr: the Zoom/Internet hiccuped on our end
- 468 00:51:26 Jay Furr: From the Essex Town/Essex Junction agreement: "The
- Chief of the Essex PD ("Police Chief") shall be an employee of the Town and shall be
- supervised by the Town Manager. However, the Town Manager shall seek input from the
- City Manager in the evaluation of the Police Chief and in the hiring of any new Chief.
- The Town Manager shall also accept input from and cooperate with the City Manager.
- The Police Chief, Town Manager, and City Manager shall meet at least once every six
- 474 months to discuss the status, quality and execution of Police Services by the Essex PD.
- 475 The Police Chief and City Manager shall cooperate in the creation, modification and
- execution of any City emergency response plans. The Chief shall have control over the
- 477 execution of the emergency response plan."
- 478 01:02:12 Kate & Saben Littlefield: If this is opened up to the police chiefs it
- 479 needs to open to the community
- 480 01:02:17 Kate & Saben Littlefield: thank you june
- 481 01:03:19 Ann Naumann: Given that the process of setting up a system of
- governence and approval by the state may take up to a couple of years. It will be
- important to think about what happens for each town's police dept in the interim so that
- we have vital and effective depts
- 485 01:03:46 Jennifer Decker: There's an ethical problem with centering town
- 486 employees over the voices of those represented by the respective Select Boards.
- 487 01:04:04 Kate & Saben Littlefield: exactly jennifer
- 488 01:06:19 Kate & Saben Littlefield: 7:35 PM 3. Items for Presentation or
- 489 Discussion with those present
- 490 a) Discussion of shared Police Department governance options with Town of Richmond
- 491 and
- 492 Town of Hinesburg (120 min)
- 493 01:06:25 Kate & Saben Littlefield: so residents also?
- 494 01:07:05 Kate & Saben Littlefield: can you come closer to mic? hard to hear
- 495 01:07:41 David Sander: I can hear Ben fine.
- 496 01:07:55 June Heston: Me too

- 497 01:11:03 Kate & Saben Littlefield: why would it be that Hinesburg takes over
- and not the other way around? How would that decision be made?
- 499 01:13:22 Ann Naumann: Part of the discussion that we should plan for is
- what exactly do we want our police depts to be doing? There are movements nationally
- to think about ways to use social services for some things that currently fall on the
- shoulders of police (domestic disputes, addiction, etc
- 503 01:14:22 Ann Naumann: This is an opportunity to consider what policing
- should look like in our towns
- 505 01:18:33 Jennifer Decker: I saw in the news that this would save taxpayers
- money. Can anyone clarify how those savings will be realized?
- 507 01:19:33 Kate Littlefield: It would be very helpful to see some numbers about
- how this would save taxpayers money. Currently, HPD has a budget of apx 800k and it
- looks like Richmond PD has apx 600k. How would a merger save taxpayers money
- and/or honor the voices of community members who want police reform?
- 511 01:24:05 Jennifer Decker: I can't think of any roads between Hinesburg and
- Richmond that feel safe to share with a speeding police vehicle. There is nowhere to pull
- over, and there are so many blind curves in the roads.
- 514 01:28:19 Jennifer Decker: This is also a safe area with current levels of
- staffing. It's a good time to work on disarming officers and acknowledging that we don't
- 516 need an armed force among us. Ethical issues with officers and departments fill the news.
- The real opportunity is to shift our culture with a recognition that a system focused on
- 518 crime and punishment is not in any of our best interests. What I want in services would
- be a department I could call for an unarmed response for community safety.
- 520 01:29:07 Warren Myers: We already share those roads with police vehicles,
- 521 Jennifer.
- 522 01:29:33 Jennifer Decker: Thank you, Warren, This will certainly increase
- 523 police traffic.
- 524 01:29:48 Ann Naumann: Thank you David, good points
- 525 01:37:11 Jennifer Decker: Can anyone provide data to back up the claim that
- 526 costs will remain even?
- 527 01:40:11 Kate Littlefield: Oh How will this merger impact coverage of CVU
- 528 and MMU?
- 529 01:40:11 David Sander: I am very proud of the direction the Richmond Police
- Department has taken over the past three plus years
- 531 01:40:25 Laurie Dana: cannot hear josg
- 532 01:40:31 Laurie Dana: josh
- 533 01:40:43 Kate Littlefield: Great thank you! That is good to hear.
- 534 01:41:47 David Sander: I think Richmond the 11th highest in ticket revenue in the
- state five years ago
- 536 01:48:09 Jennifer Decker: What would be the justification for going to the
- expense of detective bureaus and SWAT teams? I don't see any rationale for that in the
- Hinesburg police blotter.
- 539 01:49:14 Jennifer Decker: Who is on that committee?
- 540 01:49:52 Kate Littlefield: How will this merger impact coverage of CVU and
- 541 MMU?
- 542 01:51:01 Jennifer Decker: What government body has appointed this
- 543 committee?
- 544 01:51:19 Connie van Eeghen, she/her: How often will the committee report back to
- its respective communities and how?

546	01:51:32	Jay Furr:	On our end, the Selectboard appointed two of its members,	
547	June and Bar	d		
548	01:51:43	Jay Furr:	and then the town manager and the police chief	
549	01:51:43	Kate Littlefiel	eld: I'm not talking about SRO	
550	01:51:45	David Sander: MMU used to contract with the Sheriff. I don't know if		
551	they still contract with them or not.			
552	01:51:51	Kate Littlefiel	eld: Emergency Coverage	
553	01:52:24	Kate Littlefiel	eld: Even large PDs and schools like Essex don't have	
554	SROs - they are proven harmful and ineffective in emergencies FYI			
555	01:53:19	MMCTV Erin	n: Josh please pull mic back towards you	
556	01:53:51	MMCTV Erin	n: Thanks	
557	01:54:02	Connie van Eeghen, she/her: Thanks everyone		
558	01:54:33	Jennifer Deck	ker: Not all questions from the public in the chat	
559	received a response.			