#### **STP Selection Matrix**

Version 5/8/2017

Project Name:	PR&R Development
Discharge Point:	1

#### Step 1: Is the Water Quality Treatment Standard entirely managed with one or more of the following Tier 1 practices?

Infiltration Basins/ Trenches/ Chambers

Drywells

Bioretention (designed to infiltrate)

Filters (designed to infiltrate)

Reforestation<sup>1</sup>

Simple Disconnection

Disconnection to Filter Strips and Vegetated Buffers

Dry Swales (designed to infiltrate)

Permeable Pavement<sup>1</sup>

Stop. No further justification

needed.

Yes \( \cap \) No

1. These practices do not require specific justification due to feasibility limitations

### Step 2: Assess the feasibility of using Tier 1 Practices

Complete the matrix below in its entirety for each drainage area.								
Tier 1 Practices are available to meet the Quality Treatment Standard. If using one practices, stop here. If additional site coexist other than those listed here, proceed	Infiltration Basin/ Trench/ Chamber	Drywell	Bioretention (infiltrating)	Simple Disconnection	Disconnection to Filter Strips or Vegetated Buffer	Dry Swales (infiltrating)	Filters (infiltrating)	
Practice Availability for Water Quality Trea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Feasibility Restriction	Response		Practio	e Availab	ility Base	d on Restr	ictions	
Do underlying soils have an infiltration rate of less than 0.2 inches per hour, as confirmed by field geotechnical tests or are classified as Hydrologic Soil Group D according to the NRCS Soil survey?	○ Yes <b>⑤</b> No	Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Will runoff to the practice include discharge from a hotspot landuse or activity?	Yes No	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
Is the site a brownfield or contaminated site where infiltration is restricted or where infiltration would increase the threat of pollution migration, as confirmed in writing by the Department's Waste Management and Prevention Division?	◯ Yes <b>⑤</b> No	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
Is the slope of the vegetated buffer greater than 15%	Yes No	n/a	n/a	n/a	Available	Available	n/a	n/a
Is the slope of the filter strip greater than 15%	Yes • No	n/a	n/a	n/a	Available	n/a	n/a	n/a
Is the slope of the vegetated buffer greater than 8%	○ Yes ● No	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Available	n/a	n/a
Are natural slopes where an infiltration trench or basin could be sited greater than 15%	○ Yes ● No	) Available	n/a	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Bottom of practice would be below seasonal high water table	○ Yes ● No	) Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Seasonal high water table or bedrock would be less than 1 foot from the bottom of the practice.	○ Yes ● No	) Available	Available	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Seasonal high water table or bedrock would be less than 3 feet from the bottom of the practice.	○ Yes ● No	) Available	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

STP Selection Matrix - SN1 Page 1 of 2

Yes	<b>●</b> N	<sup>o</sup> Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
○ Yes	<b>●</b> N	o Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Yes	<b>●</b> N	o Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
Yes	<b>●</b> N	o Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
○ Yes	<b>●</b> N	o Available	Available	Available	n/a	n/a	Available	Available
○ Yes	<b>●</b> N	o Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
f, following completion of Step 2 of the STP Selection Tool there are no Tier 1 Practices available for use on the project site, designers shall consider the use of Tier 2 practices for treatment of the Water Quality Treatment Standard.  s the Water Quality Treatment Standard entirely managed with Tier 2 Practices?  Provide written site specific justification below.  Tier 3 Practices may be used to meet the Water								
○ Yes	s	) No		Practices	may be	used to n	neet the	
ole for rea hat such Matrix an	asons l praction d dete e for r	peyond tho ces are not ermination neeting the	Tier 3  se listed in feasible for that Tier a	Practices Quali  Step 2 of ollowing the and Tier 2 F	ty Treatr the STP Se e guidance Practices ar	-	neet the dard.  rix, a desig 2.2.4.1 of to shall a designation.	mer may he 2017 igner
С	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Tool th	Yes N  To Yes N  Tool there are	Yes No Available  Tool there are no Tier 1	Yes No Available Available  Available Available  The Available Available Available  The Available Available Available  The Available Available Available  The Available Available Available  The Available Available Available  The Available Available Available Available  The Available Available Available Available  The Available Availabl	Yes No Available Available Available  The Available Available Available Available  The Available Available Available Available Available  The Available Avai	Yes No Available Available Available n/a  Yes No Available for use on the Water Quality Treatment Standard.	Yes No Available Available Available n/a n/a  Yes No Available	Yes No Available Available Available n/a n/a Available  Yes No Available

STP Selection Matrix - SN1 Page 2 of 2

ı	Project Name	PR&R PL	JD	
		The name	above will	appear on all the discharge point tabs
Do i tab:		ts (SN) wil		iect name and notes. It will auto-populated based on the values on the discharge point on the summary if an area has been entered on that tab. Areas listed below are those
	9 # 0	Total	SN1	
	New	0.98	0.98	
S	Redeveloped	0.00	0.00	
/iou	Existing	0.00	0.00	
Impervious	Previously	0.00	0.00	
lm	Authorized	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.98	0.98	
	Site Area	19.12	19.12	
	Latitud	е	44.32555	
	Longitu	de	-73.07435	
	Receivir	ng Water	Unnamed Tributary of LaPlatte River	
Red	charge			
	Required Provided Standard met?		SN1 0.0255 0.4940 Yes	
	Notes:			
Wa	iter Quality			
	Required Provided Standard met?	0.4940	SN1 0.1532 0.4940 Yes	
Αı				red for sites with low impervious (<16.67%). This calculation has not been incorporated gners should check that the minimum WQ $_{ m V}$ has been met for their site.
Ì	Notes:			

Last Updated 8/28/2018 Summary: Page 1 of 3

**Channel Protection** 

	Total	SN1	
Ctondond Annico			
Standard Applies?		Yes	
Waiver		n/a	
		Hydrologic	
Method		Condition	
HC	0.1569	Method 0.1569	
$T_V$ Provided			
T <sub>V</sub> Provided	0.4340	0.4940	
Notes:			
[			
Overbank Flood I	Protection	<b></b>	
		SN1	
Standard			
Pre-De	ev Q (cfs)	18.69	
Routed, Post-De	v Q (cfs)	12.13	
	Waiver		
Notes:			
L			
Extreme Flood Pr	rotection		
		SN1	
Standard			
Pre-De	ev Q (cfs)	0	
Routed, Post-De	v Q (cfs)	0	
	Waiver	< 10.20	
Notes:			
General Notes			

Last Updated 8/28/2018 Summary: Page 2 of 3

vermont Operational Stormwater Permit - Standards Compliance Workbook

Last Updated 8/28/2018 Summary: Page 3 of 3

<b>General Discharge Point</b>	Information					
	intormation	D:				1
Disabassa sai		Project name				
Discharge poil		r (e.g. S/N 001)	S/N 001			
Latte da Alasta da L	Name of r	Unnamed	Tributary of LaP	latte River	-	
Latitude (decimal o	_	· · · · · ·		44.32555		1
Longitude (decimal degrees to five decimal places) -73.07435						
Precipitation Data	* Preciptation					
Storm	WQ Storm	1 yr, 24 hr	10 yr, 24 hr	100 yr, 24 hr		
Precipitation (inches)	1.00	2.05	3.51	5.25		
Drainage Area Information	on					
Pre Development Land U	lse (acres)					
Landuse	Α	В	С	D	Total	
Grass	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Meadow	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Woods	6.820	0.000	0.000	12.300	19.120	
<b>Existing Impervious</b>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Impervious previousl	y authorized un	der 2002 VSMN	•	,	0.000	
			101	al Pre Site Area	19.120	
Post Development Land	lise (acres)					%
Landuse	A	В	С	D	Total	ر"
		_			iulai	
Grassi	3.450	0.000				
Grass Meadow	3.450 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000	2.700 0.000	6.150	
Grass Meadow Woods	3.450 0.000 2.860	0.000 0.000 0.000		2.700		
Meadow Woods	0.000 2.860	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	2.700 0.000 9.130	6.150 0.000	5.1%
Meadow	0.000	0.000	0.000 0.000	2.700 0.000	6.150 0.000 11.990	5.1%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit	0.000 2.860	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	2.700 0.000 9.130	6.150 0.000 11.990	5.1%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980	
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980	
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980	0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000	0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Imper	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Pe	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Perent Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious ler 2002 VSMM Total Site Area	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Perent Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 19.120	0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Portion Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ped Impervious	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 19.120 0.980	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Portion Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ped Impervious	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000 19.120 0.980 0.000	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards)	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Portion Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious ler 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment)	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000 19.120 0.980 0.000	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards)	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Portion Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious fer 2002 VSMM Total Site Area fermit Coverage ped Impervious fer 2002 VSMM Total Site Area fermit Coverage ped Impervious fed	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000 19.120 0.980 0.000 0.000	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New Standards)	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Portion Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ced Impervious edevelopment)  Average Catchment	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000 19.120 0.980 0.000 0.000 Hydraulic	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Meadow Woods New Impervious Existing for Permit Coverage (Treated to New	0.000 2.860 0.510 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 Existing Impervious previously Total Induced Existing Imperviously	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 vious Not for Portion Redevelopauthorized und	2.700 0.000 9.130 0.470 0.000 ermit Coverage ped Impervious er 2002 VSMM Total Site Area ermit Coverage ped Impervious edevelopment)  Average Catchment Slope, Y (%)	6.150 0.000 11.990 0.980 0.000 0.000 0.000 19.120 0.980 0.000 0.000	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Runoff Calculations			1 yr, 24-hr	10 yr, 24-hr	100 yr, 24-hr
Predeve	elopment runoff v	0.4872	1.4739	2.9124	
Pre-routed, post deve	lopment runoff v	0.6440	1.7342	3.3417	
Tier 1/Runoff Reduction	Practices				
List all Tier 1 practices below v	vith the associated	treatment volun	ne (T $_{ m V}$ ). The T	$_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$ will be applied to	o all treatment standa
except for Green Roofs, which		narge or water q	uality credit. Ple	ease include the ap	propriate STP
worksheet(s) with the applicat	n			<u> </u>	1
Practice	T <sub>v</sub> (ac-ft)	Practice		T <sub>V</sub> (ac-ft)	
Infiltration Basin	0.192				
Infiltration Basin	0.302				
Runoff Reduction Calcula	ations				
Standard	Re	WQ	СР	$Q_{P10}$	$Q_{P100}$
T <sub>v</sub> Required (ac-ft)		0.1532	0.1569	0.2603	0.4293
T <sub>v</sub> Provided (ac-ft)		0.4940	0.4940	0.4940	0.4940
T <sub>V</sub> Remaining (ac-ft)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Standard met with HCM?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5 . 5	/	24		=4	60
Post-Development CN	n/a	81	75	71	68
$CN_{adj}$	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pre-Development CN	n/a	n/a	72	68	65
Groundwater Recharge S	Standard (Re)				
Standard Applicable?	Yes  No				
Re <sub>V</sub>	0.0255				
Standard met with Tier 1	Voc				
Practices?	Yes				
Recharge Notes:					

Water Quality Treatmen	t Standard (W	(Q)		
	(ac-ft)		A	Apply Reduction?
WQ <sub>V</sub> - New & Existing	0.1532	% Net Reduction	0.0%	No Yes
WQ <sub>V -</sub> Redevelopment	0.0000	% Removed Existing Impervious (Redevelopment)	0.0%	● No ○ Yes
Total WQ <sub>v</sub>	0.1532			
WQ <sub>v</sub> met with Tier 1	0.1532	•	ious treated by	
practices		(	disconnection?	Yes (WQv met)
$WQ_V$ to be met with Tier 2 and/or Tier 3 practices	0.0000			
and/or rier 3 practices				
			WQ <sub>v</sub> Provided	
	Tier 2 &	3 Water Quality Practice	(ac-ft)	Tier
		Total WQ <sub>v</sub> Provided (ac-ft)	0.0000	ac-ft
		Is the WQ <sub>v</sub> Standard met?	Yes	
		ı		I
Water Quality Notes:				
Channel Protection Stan	dard (CP)			
Standard Applicable?	● Vac			
O CONTINUE OF THE ITEMS	(C) 163 (C) 110			
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The channel protection standard h condition method. Additional treat		
S. M. S. Leviled		Colluition method. Additional Cas	unent of the Lye.	ar storm is not reganea.
Provide Extended Detention for:	n/a	ac-ft		
Warm or Cold Water	Cold Warm	→ Provide:		f extended
Fishery?		for worm and		ntion
See the Vermont Water Que	r designations			R E Extended Detention
<u> </u>	designations			5.4) is being used.
			(3=1=10	
Extended Detention STP:				
	= :	iance with extended detention in a er 1 practice. The CN <sub>Adj</sub> takes into	=	
		lculated by the watershed lag meth		
acmerea ameagn ner 2 praes		] [	,	(Watershed
$CN_{Adj}$	n/a	Post Development T <sub>C</sub> (min)	1.3	Lag Method)
Channel Protection Notes:				<u> </u> 0 ,

Overbank Flood Protecti	on (Q <sub>P10</sub> )						
Standard Applicable?	Yes No						
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The QP10 standard has been fully r	met. No addition	al STPs are required.			
STP used:	Infiltration Basi	ins 1 & 2					
Pre-develop	ment peak disc	harge rate (cfs) 18.69					
Pre-routed, post-develop	ment peak discl	harge rate (cfs) 25.68					
Routed, post-develop	ment peak disc	harge rate (cfs) 14.53					
Modeling Info: When demonstrating Q $_{P10}$ compliance in a hydrologic model, use the following CN and T $_C$ below, if the practice used to meet Q $_{P10}$ is not itself a Tier 1 practice. The CN $_{Adj}$ takes into account the reduction in runoff volume achieved through Tier 1 practices. The T $_C$ is calculated by the watershed lag method using CN $_{Adj}$ as CN'.							
Pre-Development CN (Flow- weighted composite)	68	Pre Development T <sub>C</sub> (min)	7.7	(Watershed			
$CN_{Adj}$	n/a	Post Development T <sub>C</sub> (min)	1.3	Lag Method)			
Overbank Flood Notes:							
Extreme Flood Protection	n (Q <sub>P100</sub> )	_					
Standard Applicable?	Yes No	Waiver (if No is selected):	<10 acres i	mpervious			
Standard Met with HCM?	Yes	The extreme flood standard has be required.	en fully met. No	additional STPs are			
STP used:		•					
Pre-develop	ment peak discl	harge rate (cfs)					
Pre-routed, post-develop Routed, post-develop	· ·						
practice used to meet Q <sub>P100</sub> is	not a Tier 1 prac	npliance in a hydrologic model, use tice. The CN <sub>Adj</sub> takes into account alculated by the watershed lag met	the reduction in I	runoff volume achieved			
Pre-Development CN (Flow- weighted composite)	65	Pre Development T <sub>C</sub> (min)	8.4	(Watershed			
$CN_{Adj}$	n/a	Post Development T <sub>C</sub> (min)	1.3	Lag Method)			
Extreme Flood Notes:							